TRIAL V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	v.	Case 2:16-mj-60341-JFM Document 3 Fi	led 04/21/16 Page 1 of 5
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hear has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Mart I FINDINGS OF FACT 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstary giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	1	wo	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hear has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Mart I FINDINGS OF FACT 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstary giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	2	503 545	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearthas been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community arequire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk arequire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	5.77		
FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearthas been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community arequire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Martin - Findings Of Fact 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or deathas the control of the defendant is a serious flight risk at the control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I FINDINGS OF FACT Control of the defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendant pending trial in this case. Control of the defendan	4		
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death the sentence of the proposed and the proposed	5		
United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearth has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. which is a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT	6	IN THE UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
United States of America, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearth has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (feder offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
Plaintiff, V. Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearth has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	8		
Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or deather than the sentence is life imprisonment or	9	United States of America,	NO. 16-60341MP
Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING
Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	11	v.	TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heart has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	12	Ruis Neemias Chirinos-Carias,	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearth has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	13	Defendant.	
has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	14		
(Check one or both, as applicable.) □ by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. □ by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk at require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. □ PART I FINDINGS OF FACT □ (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federoffense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is □ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). □ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	15	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing	
by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT 1 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (feder offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death	16	has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established:	
require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death the sentence of the control of the contr	17		
by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk a require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or deather than the sentence of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the defendant has been convicted of a (federal principle) of the contraction of the contraction of the defendant has been convicted of a (federal principle) of the contraction of the co	18	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and	
require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (feder offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or deather than the sentence of the se	19		
PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (feder offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or deather than the sentence of the senten	20	* *	
23			
offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstar giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death			
giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death			
28 all offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years		_	
	28	an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of ten years of

Case 2:16-mj-60341-JFM Document 3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 2 of 5

1	more is prescribed in1
2	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two
3	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or
4	comparable state or local offenses.
5	any felony that involves a minor victim or that involves the possession or
6	use of a firearm or destructive device (as those terms are defined in section 921),
7	or any other dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §
8	2250.
9	\square (2) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was
10	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
11	offense.
12	(3) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has
13	elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the
14	offense described in finding 1.
15	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no
16	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other
17	person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
18	presumption.
19	Alternative Findings
20	☐ (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the
21	defendant has committed an offense
22	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is
23	prescribed in
24	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).
25	under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment
26	of 20 years or more is prescribed.
27	¹ Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled
28	Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

⁻²⁻

Case 2:16-mj-60341-JFM Document 3 Filed 04/21/16 Page 3 of 5

1	an offense involving a minor victim under section 18 U.S.C. §		
2			
3	\Box (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1		
4	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of		
5	the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
6	Alternative Findings		
7	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or		
8	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as		
9	required.		
10	\Box (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
11	of others and the community.		
12	\square (3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to		
13	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
14	□ (4)		
15			
16	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)		
17	\square (1) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing		
18	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
19			
20	(2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
21	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.		
22	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States		
23	illegally.		
24	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the		
25			
26	most as applicable 10 0.5.C. yy1201, 1371, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2243, 2251(a),		
27	2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		

³ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the detention hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

1	Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the
2	jurisdiction of this Court.
3	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the
4	District of Arizona.
5	☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she
6	might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
7	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
8	☐ The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
9	☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona
10	or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
11	☐ There is a record of prior failure to comply with court order.
12	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from
13	law enforcement.
14	☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of
15	incarceration and a maximum of
16	☐ The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services
17	Report, except:
18	
19	☐ In addition:
20	
21	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency
22	which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
23	
24	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
25	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her
26	designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent
27	practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation

28

with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: April 20, 2016

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge